Total vegetation cover soil protection Region:LGA Kalamunda_(S) WA

Date: July 2021

This report describes vegetation protecting the soil surface from erosion during a chosen month compared to previous years. This report has been generated using MODIS fractional vegetation cover information available in Rangelands and Pasture Productivity (RAPP) map tool https://map.geo-rapp.org/#australia. The report is based on 500 metre pixel data on monthly time steps. Land use forest cover:

Results can be shown for the whole region (polygon), and separated by land use and forest cover classes which are likely to show different cover patterns and targets. Land use is divided into four broad classes: Conservation and natural environments, Agriculture, production native forests and plantation forests (no report), and other (no report). Agriculture is divided into grazing, crops and horticulture which are sub-divided into non-irrigated and irrigated. If forest is present land use is further divided into: non-forest, woodland forest and non-woodland forest. The area of each land use and forest class are shown as a map and chart. The report content is repeated for each land use and forest covers at least 1% of the area of the chosen region. Total vegetation Cover:

The total vegetation cover indicates where soil is likely to be protected from wind and or water hillslope erosion. Total vegetation cover for this month is shown on a map and chart classified into 4 classes.

• 71-100% High cover – protected from wind and usually water erosion (high rainfall, steep slopes, and erodible soils may need greater than 80, 90, 95 and up to 100% cover)

- 51-70% Moderate cover protected from wind erosion
- 31-50% Low cover not protected
- 0-30% Very Low cover not protected

Erosion protection: Wind erosion 50% total vegetation cover

The vegetation cover threshold required to prevent soil erosion is usually 50% to reduce wind erosion, 70% or 80% to reduce water (hillslope) erosion depending on the steepness and rainfall. Areas protected from erosion for the month:

- Map: water erosion protection (>70% cover) percentage area and hectares.
- Map: wind erosion protection (>50% cover) percentage area and hectares. Comparison with previous years:
 - Map: anomaly comparing this month to the average cover from the same month in previous years.
 - Map: deciles rank of month against the same month in previous years.

Anomalies and deciles until September 2019 are calculated comparing to the same months 2001 to 2019. Extra monthly data will be used to calculate anomalies and deciles post September 2019 as they become available. Time series monthly from January 2001 to current:

Erosion protection

- Wind erosion protection time series: percentage of the area of the region with greater than 50% cover for each month (orange lines). Horizontal lines are 10th (cover target) and 50th percentiles.
- Water erosion protection time series: percentage of the area of the region with greater than 70% cover for each month (blue line). Horizontal lines are 10th (cover target) and 50th percentiles.

Rainfall

• Millimetres rainfall each month (black line).

Each time series is also stacked by year. The black line shows the current year of data. Water erosion protection for higher rainfall and steeper slopes:

Water erosion protection on higher slopes. As slope increases, more cover is required to control water erosion. The thresholds reported are:

- the percentage area with pixels greater than 80% total cover.
- the percentage area with pixels greater than 90% total cover.
- the percentage area with pixels greater than 95% total cover.


Acknowledgment of data:


- 1. http://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/aclump/land-use/alum-classification
- 2. http://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/forestsaustralia/sofr/sofr-2018
- 3. https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/agriculture/pastures-and-rangelands/establishment-mgmt/production-management2/groundcover
- 4. MODIS Fractional cover algorithm:

https://doi.org/10.4225/08/5848a3f19a7b3




Vegetation Cover Jul 2021







Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)



Total Vegetation Cover [%]




% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)








Proportion of each land class in area














Conservation and natural environments




· 52°10'10°1

32%50%

0.30%

Proportion of each land class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)




% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)






Total Vegetation Cover Decile [%] **Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]** 5 - 20 Anomaly show how many percetage points each pixel is from the mean That ୍ଚ୍ଚ Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the - 10 the mean. That x^1 record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the - 0 mean of that pixel. The mean ⁻ is only for the month of the map using baseline from 2001 to -2019. records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019. -102^{?5} -20










Proportion of the area protected from water erosion (Total Vegetation Cover > 70%)


Water erosion historical monthly area protected (Total Veg Cov>70%)









Conservation and natural environments Woodland forest






% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)



Proportion of vegetation cover class in area




% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)




Anomaly show how how how how pixel is from the mean. That is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the mean of that pixel. The mean is only for the month of the maguration of the manusing baseline from 2001 to 2019.

Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.






Conservation and natural environments Woodland forest timeseries




Proportion of the area protected from wind erosion (Total Vegetation Cover > 50%)

Proportion of the area protected from water erosion (Total Vegetation Cover > 70%)







9




Conservation and natural environments Forest (non woodland)







Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)






Anomaly show how many percetage points each pixel is from the mean. That is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the mean of that

mean of that

pixel. The mean is only for the month of the map using baseline from 2001 to -2019.

Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]

Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the man using baseling the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.





- 20


- 10


0

-10

-20


Conservation and natural environments Forest (non woodland) timeseries






Proportion of the area protected from water erosion (Total Vegetation Cover > 70%)

Water erosion historical monthly area protected (Total Veg Cov>70%)





Agriculture



Total Vegetation Cover [%]


% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)







Proportion of each land class in area

Proportion of vegetation cover class in area




% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)






Anomaly show homomorphic each pixel is from the mean. That is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the mean of that pixel. The mean is only for the month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.


Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.








Proportion of the area protected from water erosion (Total Vegetation Cover > 70%)




Agriculture timeseries







Irrigation



Total Vegetation Cover [%] 1200-2001 52°10'TOP 320050010 0.30%


% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)






Proportion of each land class in area


Proportion of vegetation cover class in area




% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)














Irrigation timeseries






Production native forests and plantation forests







% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)




Proportion of vegetation cover class in area




% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)













Proportion of the area protected from water erosion (Total Vegetation Cover > 70%)



Water erosion historical monthly area protected (Total Veg Cov>70%)







Kalamunda_(S) (31,825 ha and no data 581 ha) Percentage area and hectares protected with TVC threshold 30,50,70,80,90 and 95%

Land use and forest cover Class	area(ha)	above_30	above_50	above_70	above_80	above_90	above_95
Entire region	31,825	99.7% 31,725	98.4% 31,325	95.2% 30,300	89.1% 28,350	71.1% 22,625	49.9% 15,875
Conservation and natural environments	11,675	100.0% 11,675	99.8% 11,650	99.4% 11,600	97.6% 11,400	80.5% 9,400	53.1% 6,200
Conservation and natural environments Woodland forest	2,400	100.0% 2,400	99.0% 2,375	97.9% 2,350	93.8% 2,250	77.1% 1,850	49.0% 1,175
Conservation and natural environments Forest (non woodland)	9,200	100.0% 9,200	100.0% 9,200	99.7% 9,175	98.6% 9,075	81.8% 7,525	54.6% 5,025
Agriculture	600	100.0% 600	100.0% 600	95.8% 575	87.5% 525	54.2% 325	29.2% 175
Irrigation	550	100.0% 550	100.0% 550	95.5% 525	86.4% 475	50.0% 275	22.7% 125
Production native forests and plantation forests	12,525	100.0% 12,525	100.0% 12,525	100.0% 12,525	98.8% 12,375	89.2% 11,175	71.1% 8,900

