# Total vegetation cover soil protection Region:LGA Blackall-Tambo (R) QLD

This report describes vegetation protecting the soil surface from erosion during a chosen month compared to previous years. This report has been generated using MODIS fractional vegetation cover information available in Rangelands and Pasture Productivity (RAPP) map tool https://map.geo-rapp.org/#australia. The report is based on 500 metre pixel data on monthly time steps.

Land use forest cover:

Results can be shown for the whole region (polygon), and separated by land use and forest cover classes which are likely to show different cover patterns and targets. Land use is divided into four broad classes: Conservation and natural environments, Agriculture, production native forests and plantation forests (no report), and other (no report). Agriculture is divided into grazing, crops and horticulture which are sub-divided into non-irrigated and irrigated. If forest is present land use is further divided into: non-forest, woodland forest and non-woodland forest. The area of each land use and forest class are shown as a map and chart. The report content is repeated for each land use and forest cover class that covers at least 1% of the area of the chosen region. Total vegetation Cover:

The total vegetation cover indicates where soil is likely to be protected from wind and or water hillslope erosion. Total vegetation cover for this month is shown on a map and chart classified into 4 classes.

- 71-100% High cover protected from wind and usually water erosion (high rainfall, steep slopes, and erodible soils may need greater than 80, 90, 95 and up to 100% cover)
  - 51-70% Moderate cover protected from wind erosion
  - 31-50% Low cover not protected
  - 0-30% Very Low cover not protected

Erosion protection: Wind erosion 50% total vegetation cover

The vegetation cover threshold required to prevent soil erosion is usually 50% to reduce wind erosion, 70% or 80% to reduce water (hillslope) erosion depending on the steepness and rainfall. Areas protected from erosion for the month:

- Map: water erosion protection (>70% cover) percentage area and hectares.
- Map: wind erosion protection (>50% cover) percentage area and hectares.

Comparison with previous years:

- Map: anomaly comparing this month to the average cover from the same month in previous years.
- Map: deciles rank of month against the same month in previous years.

Anomalies and deciles until September 2019 are calculated comparing to the same months 2001 to 2019. Extra monthly data will be used to calculate anomalies and deciles post September 2019 as they become available. Time series monthly from January 2001 to current:

# **Erosion protection**

- Wind erosion protection time series: percentage of the area of the region with greater than 50% cover for each month (orange lines). Horizontal lines are 10th (cover target) and 50th percentiles.
- Water erosion protection time series: percentage of the area of the region with greater than 70% cover for each month (blue line). Horizontal lines are 10th (cover target) and 50th percentiles.

### Rainfall

• Millimetres rainfall each month (black line).

Each time series is also stacked by year. The black line shows the current year of data.

Water erosion protection for higher rainfall and steeper slopes:

Water erosion protection on higher slopes. As slope increases, more cover is required to control water erosion. The thresholds reported are:

- the percentage area with pixels greater than 80% total cover.
- the percentage area with pixels greater than 90% total cover.
- the percentage area with pixels greater than 95% total cover.

## **Acknowledgment of data:**

- 1. http://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/aclump/land-use/alum-classification
- 2. http://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/forestsaustralia/sofr/sofr-2018
- 3. https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/agriculture/pastures-and-rangelands/establishment-mgmt/production-management2/groundcover
- 4. MODIS Fractional cover algorithm:

https://doi.org/10.4225/08/5848a3f19a7b3









**Date: February 2024** 

# **Vegetation Cover Feb 2024**

### Land use and forest cover

Derived from

pixel is from


is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the


mean of that pixel. The mean is only for the

using baseline from 2001 to


2019.

the mean. That






% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)




**Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]** 




Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.


### Proportion of each land class in area




Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)



**Total Vegetation Cover Decile [%]** 















# **Conservation and natural environments**


# Land use and forest cover Catchment Scale Land Use and Forests 1 Conservation and natural environments - Nonforest of Australia (2018) Derived from 2 Conservation and natural environments - Woodland Catchment Scale Land Use of Australia 3 Conservation and natural environments - Non-woodland forest (2018) and Forests of Australia (2018)

### Proportion of each land class in area 49.5% 50 40 Area (%) 25.3% 25.2% 20 10 -0.50.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 0.0 Land use class


### **Total Vegetation Cover [%]**




Proportion of vegetation cover class in area




# % Area protected from water erosion (>70%)



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)




### **Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]**



Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

### **Total Vegetation Cover Decile [%]**





the mean. That is, red pixels

are about 20% lower than the mean of that

pixel. The mean

using baseline from 2001 to 2019.













# **Conservation and natural environments timeseries**






month






# **Conservation and natural environments non forest**


### Land use and forest cover



### **Total Vegetation Cover [%]**




### % Area protected from water erosion (>70%)



### **Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]**


is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the mean of that pixel. The mean

using baseline from 2001 to 2019.





Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

### Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



### % Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)

















# **Conservation and natural environments non forest timeseries**











# **Conservation and natural environments Woodland forest**


### Land use and forest cover



### **Total Vegetation Cover [%]**



### % Area protected from water erosion (>70%)




### **Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]**

the mean. That


is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the mean of that pixel. The mean

using baseline from 2001 to 2019.





Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

### Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



### % Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)





















# **Conservation and natural environments Forest (non woodland)**


### Land use and forest cover




### **Total Vegetation Cover [%]**



# % Area protected from water erosion (>70%)




### **Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]**





Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

### Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



### % Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)





















# **Agriculture**

## Land use and forest cover Catchment Scale Land Use and Forests of Australia (2018) 1 Agriculture - Grazing - Non forest 2 Agriculture - Grazing - Woodland forest Derived from 3 Agriculture - Grazing - Non-woodland forest Catchment Scale Land 4 Agriculture - Grazing - Irrigated Use of Australia 5 Agriculture - Cropping - Non-irrigated (2018) and Forests of Australia (2018) 6 Agriculture - Cropping - Irrigated


# **Proportion of each land class in area**




### **Total Vegetation Cover [%]**




Proportion of vegetation cover class in area






% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)




### **Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]**



Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

### **Total Vegetation Cover Decile [%]**





is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the mean of that




**Ecosystem Research Infrastructure** 










# **Agriculture timeseries**












# **Grazing**

### Land use and forest cover






### % Area protected from water erosion (>70%)




**Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]** 

is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the mean of that pixel. The mean




Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the man using baseline. the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.


### Proportion of each land class in area




Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)



**Total Vegetation Cover Decile [%]** 















# **Grazing timeseries**











# **Grazing non forest**


### Land use and forest cover




### **Total Vegetation Cover [%]**



### % Area protected from water erosion (>70%)




### **Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]**




Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the man using baseline. the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.


### Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



### % Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)



### **Total Vegetation Cover Decile [%]**





is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the















# **Grazing non forest timeseries**











# **Grazing Woodland forest**


### Land use and forest cover




### Total Vegetation Cover [%]



### % Area protected from water erosion (>70%)




### Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]





Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

### Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



### % Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)
















# **Grazing Woodland forest timeseries**






# **Grazing - Forest (non woodland)**


### Land use and forest cover



### **Total Vegetation Cover [%]**




### % Area protected from water erosion (>70%)



### **Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]**


the mean. That

is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the





Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

### Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



### % Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)



















# Blackall-Tambo\_(R) (3,053,575 ha and no data 76 ha) Percentage area and hectares protected with TVC threshold 30,50,70,80,90 and 95%

Land use and forest cover Class	area(ha)	above_30	above_50	above_70	above_80	above_90	above_95
Entire region	3,053,575	100.0% 3,052,225	96.5% 2,945,575	60.5% 1,847,675	30.7% 936,925	8.7% 267,150	2.5% 75,175
Conservation and natural environments	125,600	100.0% 125,575	99.9% 125,450	95.2% 119,625	80.1% 100,650	39.1% 49,075	12.5% 15,725
Conservation and natural environments non forest	31,825	99.9% 31,800	99.5% 31,675	84.1% 26,775	44.9% 14,300	9.5% 3,025	2.3% 725
Conservation and natural environments Woodland forest	62,150	100.0% 62,150	100.0% 62,150	98.6% 61,300	90.1% 55,975	41.8% 25,950	12.8% 7,950
Conservation and natural environments Forest (non woodland)	31,625	100.0% 31,625	100.0% 31,625	99.8% 31,550	96.0% 30,375	63.6% 20,100	22.3% 7,050
Agriculture	2,889,025	100.0% 2,887,700	96.3% 2,781,725	58.7% 1,696,450	28.1% 813,150	7.2% 209,000	2.0% 57,425
Grazing	2,888,075	100.0% 2,886,750	96.3% 2,780,900	58.7% 1,696,375	28.2% 813,100	7.2% 209,000	2.0% 57,425
Grazing non forest	2,497,025	99.9% 2,495,700	95.8% 2,391,075	53.3% 1,330,575	20.7% 516,575	3.0% 74,600	0.7% 17,950
Grazing Woodland forest	290,800	100.0% 290,800	99.6% 289,600	91.6% 266,500	70.1% 203,775	27.8% 80,725	8.3% 24,225
Grazing - Forest (non woodland)	100,250	100.0% 100,250	100.0% 100,225	99.1% 99,300	92.5% 92,750	53.5% 53,675	15.2% 15,250







