Total vegetation cover soil protection Region:LGA Wingecarribee (A) NSW

This report describes vegetation protecting the soil surface from erosion during a chosen month compared to previous years. This report has been generated using MODIS fractional vegetation cover information available in Rangelands and Pasture Productivity (RAPP) map tool https://map.geo-rapp.org/#australia. The report is based on 500 metre pixel data on monthly time steps.

Land use forest cover:

Results can be shown for the whole region (polygon), and separated by land use and forest cover classes which are likely to show different cover patterns and targets. Land use is divided into four broad classes: Conservation and natural environments, Agriculture, production native forests and plantation forests (no report), and other (no report). Agriculture is divided into grazing, crops and horticulture which are sub-divided into non-irrigated and irrigated. If forest is present land use is further divided into: non-forest, woodland forest and non-woodland forest. The area of each land use and forest class are shown as a map and chart. The report content is repeated for each land use and forest cover class that covers at least 1% of the area of the chosen region. Total vegetation Cover:

The total vegetation cover indicates where soil is likely to be protected from wind and or water hillslope erosion. Total vegetation cover for this month is shown on a map and chart classified into 4 classes.

- 71-100% High cover protected from wind and usually water erosion (high rainfall, steep slopes, and erodible soils may need greater than 80, 90, 95 and up to 100% cover)
 - 51-70% Moderate cover protected from wind erosion
 - 31-50% Low cover not protected
 - 0-30% Very Low cover not protected

Erosion protection: Wind erosion 50% total vegetation cover

The vegetation cover threshold required to prevent soil erosion is usually 50% to reduce wind erosion, 70% or 80% to reduce water (hillslope) erosion depending on the steepness and rainfall. Areas protected from erosion for the month:

- Map: water erosion protection (>70% cover) percentage area and hectares.
- Map: wind erosion protection (>50% cover) percentage area and hectares.

Comparison with previous years:

- Map: anomaly comparing this month to the average cover from the same month in previous years.
- Map: deciles rank of month against the same month in previous years.

Anomalies and deciles until September 2019 are calculated comparing to the same months 2001 to 2019. Extra monthly data will be used to calculate anomalies and deciles post September 2019 as they become available. Time series monthly from January 2001 to current:

Erosion protection

- Wind erosion protection time series: percentage of the area of the region with greater than 50% cover for each month (orange lines). Horizontal lines are 10th (cover target) and 50th percentiles.
- Water erosion protection time series: percentage of the area of the region with greater than 70% cover for each month (blue line). Horizontal lines are 10th (cover target) and 50th percentiles.

Rainfall

• Millimetres rainfall each month (black line).

Each time series is also stacked by year. The black line shows the current year of data.

Water erosion protection for higher rainfall and steeper slopes:

Water erosion protection on higher slopes. As slope increases, more cover is required to control water erosion. The thresholds reported are:

- the percentage area with pixels greater than 80% total cover.
- the percentage area with pixels greater than 90% total cover.
- the percentage area with pixels greater than 95% total cover.

Acknowledgment of data:

- 1. http://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/aclump/land-use/alum-classification
- 2. http://www.agriculture.gov.au/abares/forestsaustralia/sofr/sofr-2018
- 3. https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/agriculture/pastures-and-rangelands/establishment-mgmt/production-management2/groundcover
- 4. MODIS Fractional cover algorithm:

https://doi.org/10.4225/08/5848a3f19a7b3









Date: June 2024

Vegetation Cover Jun 2024

Land use and forest cover

Catchment Scale

of Australia (2018)

(2018) and Forests

of Australia (2018)

Anomaly show how many percetage points each pixel is from

the mean. That is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the


mean of that

pixel. The mean is only for the month of the map


using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

Derived from


Use of Australia




Proportion of each land class in area




Total Vegetation Cover [%]




Proportion of vegetation cover class in area




% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)




% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)



Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]



Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.



















Conservation and natural environments

Catchment Scale Land Use and Forests of Australia (2018) Derived from Catchment Scale Land Use of Australia (2018) and Forests of Australia (2018) Australia (2018) Tonservation and natural environments - Nonforest Tonservation and natural environments - Woodland forest Tonservation and natural environments - Woodland forest Tonservation and natural environments - Nonwoodland forest


Proportion of each land class in area




Total Vegetation Cover [%]




Proportion of vegetation cover class in area




% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)




Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]



Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

Total Vegetation Cover Decile [%]





Anomaly show how many percetage points each pixel is from

the mean. That is, red pixels

are about 20% lower than the mean of that

pixel. The mean

is only for the month of the map

using baseline from 2001 to 2019.














Conservation and natural environments timeseries









Conservation and natural environments Woodland forest


Land use and forest cover



Total Vegetation Cover [%]



% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)



Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]

Anomaly show how many percetage points each pixel is from


the mean. That is, red pixels

are about 20% lower than the mean of that

pixel. The mean


using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

is only for the month of the map





Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)
























Conservation and natural environments Forest (non woodland)

Land use and forest cover Catchment Scale Land Use and Forests of Australia (2018) Derived from 1 Conservation and natural environments - Non-woodland forest Catchment Scale Land Use of Australia (2018) and Forests of Australia (2018)

Total Vegetation Cover [%]

% Area protected from water erosion (>70%) Area not protected 0.2% of region (48 ha) Area protected 99.8% of region (23,977 ha)





Proportion of vegetation cover class in area


99.8%



















Agriculture


Land use and forest cover




Proportion of each land class in area




Total Vegetation Cover [%]




Proportion of vegetation cover class in area




% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)




Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]



Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

Total Vegetation Cover Decile [%]





the mean. That

pixel. The mean

using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

is only for the month of the map

is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the mean of that














Agriculture timeseries










Grazing

Land use and forest cover






% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)




Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]




Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.


Proportion of each land class in area




Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)



Total Vegetation Cover Decile [%]





is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the mean of that

pixel. The mean

using baseline from 2001 to 2019.














Grazing timeseries








Grazing non forest


Land use and forest cover

Catchment Scale
Land Use and Forests
of Australia (2018)
Derived from
Catchment Scale Land
Use of Australia
(2018) and Forests
of Australia (2018) 1 Agriculture - Grazing - Non forest

Total Vegetation Cover [%]




% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)



Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]


lower than the

mean of that





Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)
















Grazing non forest timeseries









Grazing Woodland forest


Land use and forest cover



Total Vegetation Cover [%]




% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)



Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]


lower than the

mean of that pixel. The mean





Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)
















Grazing Woodland forest timeseries









Grazing - Forest (non woodland)


Land use and forest cover



Total Vegetation Cover [%]



% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)




Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]

is, red pixels are about 20% lower than the mean of that


pixel. The mean

using baseline from 2001 to 2019.





Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)
























Cropping


Land use and forest cover



Total Vegetation Cover [%]



% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)




Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]

are about 20% lower than the mean of that

pixel. The mean


using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

is only for the month of the map





Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)
















Cropping timeseries









Production native forests and plantation forests


Land use and forest cover



Total Vegetation Cover [%]



% Area protected from water erosion (>70%)



Total Vegetation Cover Anomaly [%]


the mean. That is, red pixels

are about 20% lower than the mean of that

pixel. The mean


using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

is only for the month of the map





Deciles show where the pixel value lies in the record, from highest to lowest, for that month. That is, red pixels are in the lowest 10% of records for that month of the map using baseline from 2001 to 2019.

Proportion of vegetation cover class in area



% Area protected from wind erosion (>50%)
















Production native forests and plantation forests timeseries







Wingecarribee_(A) (268,500 ha and no data 401 ha) Percentage area and hectares protected with TVC threshold 30,50,70,80,90 and 95%

| Land use and forest cover Class | area(ha) | above_30 | above_50 | above_70 | above_80 | above_90 | above_95 |
|---|----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Entire region | 268,500 | 100.0% 268,475 | 100.0% 268,450 | 99.6% 267,325 | 98.0% 263,175 | 83.3% 223,775 | 56.8% 152,450 |
| Conservation and natural environments | 127,700 | 100.0% 127,700 | 100.0% 127,700 | 99.8% 127,425 | 98.8% 126,200 | 91.8% 117,275 | 71.0% 90,725 |
| Conservation and natural environments Woodland forest | 102,950 | 100.0% 102,950 | 100.0% 102,950 | 99.8% 102,725 | 98.9% 101,825 | 93.1% 95,875 | 74.3% 76,500 |
| Conservation and natural environments Forest (non woodland) | 24,025 | 100.0% 24,025 | 100.0% 24,025 | 99.8% 23,975 | 98.5% 23,675 | 86.3% 20,725 | 57.6% 13,850 |
| Agriculture | 101,375 | 100.0% 101,375 | 100.0% 101,375 | 100.0% 101,325 | 99.0% 100,400 | 77.1% 78,150 | 43.1% 43,650 |
| Grazing | 92,250 | 100.0% 92,250 | 100.0% 92,250 | 99.9% 92,200 | 99.0% 91,350 | 77.4% 71,425 | 43.8% 40,375 |
| Grazing non forest | 65,875 | 100.0% 65,875 | 100.0% 65,875 | 99.9% 65,825 | 98.7% 65,050 | 72.3% 47,650 | 36.6% 24,100 |
| Grazing Woodland forest | 19,625 | 100.0% 19,625 | 100.0% 19,625 | 100.0% 19,625 | 99.7% 19,575 | 89.6% 17,575 | 58.7% 11,525 |
| Grazing - Forest (non woodland) | 6,750 | 100.0% 6,750 | 100.0% 6,750 | 100.0% 6,750 | 99.6% 6,725 | 91.9% 6,200 | 70.4% 4,750 |
| Cropping | 8,750 | 100.0% 8,750 | 100.0% 8,750 | 100.0% 8,750 | 99.1% 8,675 | 74.3% 6,500 | 35.4% 3,100 |
| Production native forests and plantation forests | 15,625 | 100.0% 15,625 | 100.0% 15,625 | 100.0% 15,625 | 99.8% 15,600 | 91.8% 14,350 | 69.9% 10,925 |







